

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,707	02/09/2004	Matthew Mills	04-13161	9540
25189	7590 03/17/2006	EXAMINER		INER
CISLO & THOMAS, LLP			ROANE, AARON F	
SUITE 900	233 WILSHIRE BLVD SUITE 900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA MO	NICA, CA 90401-1211		3739	
	, ,		3137	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/774,707	MILLS, MATTHEW				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Aaron Roane	3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2006.						
		s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6,12,15,16 and 19</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 12, 15, 16 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
	_						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗀	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment		n □ ( ·	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	<del></del>	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 12, 15, 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Kimmel (USPN 5,890,487).

Regarding claims 1, 6 and 12, Kimmel discloses a thermal device for applying thermal

energy to the body of a person, animal or other surface, comprising: degermed corn grain

(cleansed corn, see col. 2, lines 43-46); and an enclosure or receptacle (1 and analogous

counterparts in other embodiments) configured to enclose said degermed corn grain, see

col. 2-4 and figures 1-3.

Regarding claim 2, Kimmel further discloses the enclosure is made from fabric (4a and

4b of 1), see col. 2, lines 34-42.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,707 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

Regarding claims 3, 4, 15 and 16, Kimmel discloses the claimed invention. The recitation of oven dried corn and/or kiln dried corn is interpreted as a method of manufacture. The determination of patentability in a product-by-process claim is based on the product itself, even though the claim may be limited and defined by the process. That is, the product in such a claim is unpatentable if it is the same as or obvious from the product of the prior art, even if the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 697, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). A product-by-process limitation adds no patentable distinction to the claim, and is unpatentable if the claimed product is the same as a product of the prior art.

Regarding claims 5 and 19, Kimmel further discloses an enclosure or receptacle that is generally rectangular, see figure 1.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 12, 15, 16 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## This action is FINAL.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,707 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.R.  $\mathcal{H}$ , (C. March 8, 2006

ROY D'GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER